

BSM physics: what can the Higgs tell us?

Matthew Brown

Southampton, 24th January 2013

Outline

- Assumptions and framework for SM Higgs
- Exclusion limits (Brazil band plots)
- Higgs discovery
- Ruling out BSM theories
- Results for
 - MUED
 - -4DCHM
- Conclusions

Higgs physics assumptions

- Negligible interference with background
 - Kauer, arXiv:1201.1667
- Narrow-width approximation
 - Produce on-shell Higgs (PDFs become simple factors)
 - Multiply by decay branching ratio (BR) to find complete pp \rightarrow h \rightarrow XX cross-section
- NWA → 1% error. Must go beyond when statistical errors fall Kauer and Passarino, arXiv:1110.1613







Higgs decay





https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/CrossSections

Higgs to two photons



SM-like particles don't decouple as $m \rightarrow \infty$

- $-7: 3 \times (2/3)^2 \times (4/3)$
- Particles which get mass from elsewhere do decouple

-3.9:1

(amplitude level)







Testing our hypothesis

- Measure *n* events
- Assume hypothesis predicting $\overline{s} + \overline{b}$

$$P(n|ar{s}+ar{b})\sim \exp\left[-rac{(n-ar{s}-ar{b})^2}{2(ar{s}+ar{b})}
ight]$$

•
$$p = \int_0^n P(n|\bar{s} + \bar{b}) \,\mathrm{d}n$$

•
$$CL = 1 - p$$

 $\overline{s} = \varepsilon L \sigma$



Testing our hypothesis

- Model: Higgs production cross-sections uniformly enhanced by factor $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$
- $\overline{s} = \mu \varepsilon L \sigma$
- Given data *n* we can calculate exclusion CL for different hypotheses (m_h , μ)

Brazil band plots



Observed limits

- Fix m_h
- Calculate *p* for various μ
- Plot μ for which CL \equiv 1 - p = 95%
- All μ above this $\mu_{95\%}$ are excluded at 95% CL



Expected limits

- Imagine that the observed $n = \overline{b}$
- Find $\mu_{95\%}$ in this case



Expected limits

- Imagine that the observed $n = \overline{b}$
- Find $\mu_{95\%}$ in this case
- Then $n = \overline{b} \pm \sqrt{b}$ and $n = \overline{b} \pm 2\sqrt{b}$



Separate channels



Discovery significance

•
$$p_b = \int_n^\infty P(n|\bar{b}) \,\mathrm{d}n$$

- Why m_h dependence?!
- $\overline{b} = \varepsilon_{\rm b}({\rm m_h}) \times L \sigma_{\rm b}$
- *n* also depends on cuts

-ocal p-value 1σ 10 2σ 3σ 4σ **10**⁻⁵ 5σ 6σ 10⁻⁹ 7σ 10⁻¹³ Combined obs. Exp. for SM H 8σ → bb 10⁻¹⁷ → WW H → 77 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 110 m_н (GeV)

CMS Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, $L \le 5.1$ fb⁻¹ $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $L \le 12.2$ fb⁻¹



*In the original talk, I showed the wrong graph here. I explain this on the penultimate slide.

Characterising the excess



CMS-HIG-12-045, conference note, Nov 2012

Characterising the excess



CMS-HIG-12-045, conference note, Nov 2012

Calculating μ

• If one production process dominates...

 If several production processes are significant we must be told efficiencies!

Excluding BSM models

- For $n \gg 1$... $P(n|\mu \bar{s}^{SM} + \bar{b}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{(n - \mu \bar{s}^{SM} - \bar{b})^2}{2(\mu \bar{s}^{SM} + \bar{b})^2}\right]$ $\sim \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu - \hat{\mu})^2}{2\Delta^2}\right]$
- Multiply likelihoods of different channels and experiments → sum exponents

$$\chi^2 = \sum_X \frac{(\mu_{XX} - \hat{\mu}_{XX})^2}{\Delta_{XX}^2}$$

Excluding BSM models



24

Minimal Universal Extra Dimensions

Bélanger, Belyaev, Brown, Kakizaki, Pukhov [arxiv:1209.0753]

- 1 extra dimension on S¹/Z₂ orbifold (chiral interactions)
- Action 5D Lorentz invariant at cutoff scale
- KK photon is natural DM candidate
- KK partners of SM vectors and fermions contribute to loops
- gg \rightarrow h enhanced; h $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ suppressed
- *R*⁻¹ is only new parameter

±πR



Minimal Universal Extra Dimensions



Minimal Universal Extra Dimensions





Constraining MUED



4D Composite Higgs Model

Barducci, Belyaev, Brown, De Curtis, Moretti, Pruna, in perparation

- New strongly interacting sector possessing global symmetry
- Symmetry is spontaneously broken
- Four of the (pseudo) Nambu-Goldstone bosons form the SM Higgs doublet
 Neutral Gauge Bosons
 Charged Gauge Bosons
- Makes Higgs naturally light
- New top- and bottom-like quarks and W'/Z's. Also exotic

	Neutral Gauge Bosons	$Z_{1,2,,5}$
	Charged Gauge Bosons	$W^\pm_{1,2,3}$
	Charge $2/3$ quarks	$T_{1,2,,8}$
_	Charge $-1/3$ quarks	$B_{1,2,,8}$
	Charge $5/3$ quarks	$ ilde{T}_{1,2}$
	Charge $-4/3$ quarks	$ ilde{B}_{1,2}$





Constraining 4DCHM



34

Conclusions

- Higgs physics can place powerful constraints on BSM models
- Model *dependent* tests must be performed to properly test plausible models of new physics
- I am investigating Higgs physics of
 - MUED [1209.0753]
 - 4DCHM [in preparation]
 - Technicolor models (Sasha, Mads Fandsen, Roshan Foadi, Tuomas Hapola)
 - E₆SSM (Sasha, Patrik, Marco Pruna)

Outlook

- Higgs couplings are poorly resolved at present, but will errors decrease with new data
- Experimentalists will need to release more information (Likelihoods? Efficiencies?) as precision becomes important
- Will need to move beyond NWA

Expected/observed graph confusion

In the original talk, I showed the wrong graph on slide 19. I've fixed this now, but here I show and explain the incorrect graph...

This graph is made before taking any data. For each Higgs mass, we imagine that the number of observed events is exactly equal to the signal-plus-background expectation for that value of $m_{\rm H}$. We then work out the probability that the background could fluctuate up to that hypothetical value and plot this p value.

The graph tells us which Higgs mass hypotheses we might expect to be able to exclude with the given integrated luminosity. For example, in the ZZ channel, even if the number of observed events exactly corresponded to the $m_{\rm H} \simeq 160$ GeV prediction, that number would not be different enough from the background-only prediction to exclude the background at 5 sigma. But when you combine all the channels, we would expect to be able to "discover" a Higgs of any mass up to ~500 GeV.

It shows that a SM Higgs with $m_{\rm H} \simeq 160$ GeV would be the easiest to discover by combining all the channels.



CL_s beginning of backup slides

$$CL_{s+b} = \int_0^n P(n|\bar{s} + \bar{b}) dn$$
$$CL_b = \int_0^n P(n|\bar{b}) dn$$
$$CL_s = CL_{s+b}/CL_b$$
$$CL = 1 - CL_s$$