Precise electroweak calculation of the charged current Drell-Yan process

Carlo M. Carloni Calame

INFN, Sezione di Pavia

Southampton, November 3, 2006

thanks to Stefano and the SHEP group for the invitation!

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 1 / 41

4 D N 4 B N 4 B N 4 B

Outline

Motivations for precise predictions of Drell-Yan processes

- * precise measurement of M_W (and Γ_W)
- * luminosity monitor
- ⋆ PDFs constraint
- * background to New Physics searches
- Overview of the literature
 - QCD calculations
 - ★ EW calculations
- The event generator HORACE
 - first version (with a QED Parton Shower)
 - inclusion of exact O(α) electro-weak corrections
 - technicalities
 - results
- Conclusions & outlook

M_W in the Standard Model

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}(\alpha, M_W, M_Z; M_H; m_f; ckm)$$

$$\frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8M_W^2}(1+\Delta r) \qquad \Delta r = \Delta r(m_{top}, M_W, M_Z, M_H, \ldots)$$

$$M_W^2 (1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}) = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_\mu (1 - \Delta r)}$$



• the W mass can be predicted

$$M_W^2 = \frac{M_Z^2}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\pi\alpha(1 + \Delta r)}{G_\mu \sqrt{2}M_Z^2}} \right) \to M_W = 80.363 \pm 0.032 \text{ GeV}$$

Direct measurement of M_W

- at LEP2, from $e^+e^- \rightarrow WW$ (at threshold and higher energies)
- at hadron colliders, from the M_T distribution



• A small ΔM_W (and Δm_{top}) will constraint the indirect limit on M_H

 $\Delta M_W = 27 \ [15]$ MeV and $\Delta m_{top} = 2.7 \ [1]$ GeV $\rightarrow \Delta M_H/M_H \simeq 35 \ [18]\%$

M_W at Hadron Colliders

- M_W is extracted from the p_{\perp}^{ℓ} distribution, showing a (Jacobian) peak at $M_W/2$
- more reliable is $M_T^W = \sqrt{2p_\perp^\ell p_\perp^\nu (1 \cos \phi_{\ell\nu})}$

 \star less sensitive to QCD corrections (e.g. p_{\perp}^{W})





• The th. description of M_T spectrum has to match the aimed exp. accuracy

• the ratio $\frac{d\sigma/dM_T^W}{d\sigma/dM_T^Z}$ can be also used to extract M_W . Competitive at high luminosities C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

• it is possible to monitor the collider luminosity, the parton luminosities or to measure the PDFs

Frixione & Mangano '04 and refs. therein

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

★ relevant observables: total cross section, W (and Z) rapidity distribution, lepton rapidity distribution

$$\sigma^{\exp} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathsf{BR}(W \to \ell\nu)} \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{L} dt} \frac{N^{obs}}{A} = \sigma^{\mathsf{theory}} \equiv \sum_{ab} \mathcal{P}_{ab} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab}$$

- $\star\,$ an accuracy of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ is required/achievable
- DY processes are background to New Physics searches
 - invariant mass (transverse mass) distribution tails have to be precisely simulated

Theoretical cross section at hadron colliders

$$\sigma^{\text{theory}} = \sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 f_{i,A}(x_1,\mu^2) f_{j,B}(x_2,\mu^2) \int d\sigma^H_{i,j}(x_1x_2s,\mu^2)$$

- it relies on factorization theorems
- $f_{k,C}$ ($k = u, \bar{u}, d, \dots, g, \dots$) are the PDFs of hadron C
- $d\sigma^{H}_{k,l}$ describes the hard parton-parton process, as accurately as possible, including
 - QCD Parton Shower evolution
 - QCD fixed order corrections
 - EW corrections
 - • •
- μ^2 is the factorization scale. Evolution up to μ^2 is driven by DGLAP equations

Status of QCD calculations (& tools)

NLO/NNLO corrections to W/Z total production rate

G. Altarelli, R.K. Ellis, M. Greco and G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B246 (1984) 12

R. Hamberg, W.L. van Neerven, T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 343

R.V. Harlander and W.B. Kilgore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 201801

• resummation of LL/NLL p_T^W/M_W logs (RESBOS)

C. Balazs and C.P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 5558

NLO ME merged with HERWIG Parton Shower [PS] (MC@NLO)

S. Frixione and B.R. Webber, JHEP 0206 (2002) 029

• Matrix elements Monte Carlos (ALPGEN, SHERPA,...) matched with PS

M.L. Mangano et al., JHEP 0307, 001 (2003)

F. Krauss et al., JHEP 0507, 018 (2005)

• NNLO corrections to W/Z rapidity distribution (VRAP)

C. Anastasiou et al., Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 094008

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, hep-ph/0603182

QCD predictions for W/Z total rates

A.D. Martin et al., Eur. Phys. J. C18 (2000) 117



• Good convergence of α_s expansion. NLO-NNLO difference $\sim 2\%$ at the LHC

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

November 3, 2006 9 / 41

High-precision QCD: W/Z rapidity @ NNLO

C. Anastasiou et al., Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 094008



- First calculation of a differential distribution at NNLO in α_s . NNLO corrections at $\sim 2\%$ at the LHC and residual scale dependence below 1%.
- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2) \approx \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{em}) \rightarrow$ need to worry about electroweak corrections!

EW calculations for W & tools

- Electroweak corrections to W production
 - * Pole approximation ($\sqrt{\hat{s}} = M_W$)
 - → D. Wackeroth and W. Hollik, PRD 55 (1997) 6788
 - → U. Baur et al., PRD 59 (1999) 013002
 - - → V.A. Zykunov et al., EPJC **3** 9 (2001)
 - → S. Dittmaier and M. Krämer, PRD 65 (2002) 073007
 - → U. Baur and D. Wackeroth, PRD 70 (2004) 073015 WGRAD2
 - → A. Arbuzov, et al., EPJC **46**, 407 (2006)
 - \rightarrow C.M.C.C. et al., hep-ph/0609170 HORZ
- Multi-photon radiation
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., PRD 69, 037301 (2004), JHEP 0505:019 (2005), hep-ph/0609170 HORACI
 - → S. Jadach, W. Płaczek, EPJC 29 325 (2003)

EW calculations for W & tools

- Electroweak corrections to W production
 - * Pole approximation ($\sqrt{\hat{s}} = M_W$)
 - → D. Wackeroth and W. Hollik, PRD 55 (1997) 6788
 - → U. Baur et al., PRD 59 (1999) 013002
 - **\star** Complete $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ corrections
 - → V.A. Zykunov et al., EPJC **3** 9 (2001)
 - → S. Dittmaier and M. Krämer, PRD 65 (2002) 073007 DK
 - → U. Baur and D. Wackeroth, PRD 70 (2004) 073015 WGRAD2 SANC
 - → A. Arbuzov, et al., EPJC 46, 407 (2006)
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., hep-ph/0609170 HORACE
- Multi-photon radiation
 - → C.M.C.C. et al., PRD 69, 037301 (2004), JHEP 0505:019 (2005), HORACE hep-ph/0609170
 - → S. Jadach, W. Płaczek, EPJC **29** 325 (2003)

WINHAC

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

$\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW correction effects

U. Baur, S. Keller, D. Wackeroth, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 013002



- around the W peak, EW RC dominated by final-state [FS] (photonic) corrections
- FS radiation modifies the shape of the M_T distribution
- at TeVatron, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW RC shift M_W by $\mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV

CDF coll., PRD 64 052001 (2001)

★ are QED higher orders (h.o.) important? Quoted as systematic uncertainty of O(10-20) MeV by TeVatron colls.

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 12 / 41

HORACE: first version

 The Monte Carlo event generator HORACE was originally developed to simulate QED multi-photon radiation in DY (W and Z) processes in LL accuracy, by means of a QED Parton Shower [PS]. Only final state radiation was accounted for

C.M.C.C. et al., PRD 69 037301 (2004)

C.M.C.C et al., JHEP 0505:019 (2005)

- as in QCD, the QED PS solves the QED DGLAP equation, allowing for
 - ★ inclusion of QED LL corrections up to all orders (resummation)
 - $\star\,$ fully exclusive event generation (up to ∞ photons)
- by comparing the resummed PS and its $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ truncation, the effects purely due to h.o. can be disentangled
- photons' angular generation in W decay

C.M.C.C et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B35 1643 (2004), hep-ph/0402235

- during the 2003 MC4LHC CERN workshop, HORACE and WINHAC (Jadach & Płaczek) where compared
- WINHAC exploits the YFS approach to exponentiate exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW corrections to W decay
- e.g., cross sections for W⁻ production (in nb) at parton (p) and hadron (h) level, with (c) and without (nc) cuts at LHC:

	Born		$\mathcal{O}(lpha)$		with h.o.	
	HORACE	WINHAC	HORACE	WINHAC	HORACE	WINHAC
$p \ e^-$ (nc)	8.8872	8.8871(2)	8.8872	8.8855(1)	8.8872	8.8840
p μ^- (nc)	8.8872	8.8871(1)	8.8869	8.8853(1)	8.8869	8.8844
h e^- (nc)	7.7331(4)	7.7331	7.7331(4)	7.7317(1)	7.7325(4)	7.7304
h μ^- (nc)	7.7332(4)	7.7332(1)	7.7332(4)	7.7316	7.7328(4)	7.7307
h e^- (c)	3.2363(1)	3.2363(1)	3.1871(1)	3.1878(1)	3.1869(1)	3.1876(1)
h μ^- (c)	3.2363(1)	3.2363(1)	3.1599(1)	3.1642(1)	3.1601(1)	3.1641(1)

First HORACE vs WINHAC: M_T distribution



• for e⁻, a calorimetric event selection [ES] criterium is used

First HORACE vs WINHAC: M_T distribution differences



expected (0.2%) differences at O(α). The relative effect of exponentiation is the same

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 16 / 41

First HORACE vs WINHAC: y_W distribution



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 17 / 41

First HORACE vs WINHAC: y_W distribution differences



 expected (0.2%) differences at O(α). The relative effect of exponentiation is the same

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 18 / 41

M_W shift induced by h.o. QED RC

- by means of the first HORACE, we estimated the impact of multi-photon radiation on the extraction of M_W from M_T distr.
- a "pseudo-experiment" was performed (χ^2 analysis):
- **1** generate a sample of pseudo-data at Born level for M_W^{ref}
- 2 consider the M_T spectrum and bin it into 100 bins within the fit region 65 100 GeV
- **3** consider *N* different M_W around M_W^{ref} and generate *N* radiatively corrected M_T spectra
- 4 for each mass, calculate the χ^2 between corrected and Born spectra

$$\chi^{2}(M_{W}) = \sum_{i=bins} \left(\frac{d\sigma_{i,QED}}{\sigma_{QED}} - \frac{d\sigma_{i,Born}}{\sigma_{Born}} \right)^{2} / \left[\left(\Delta \frac{d\sigma_{i,QED}}{\sigma_{QED}} \right)^{2} + \left(\Delta \frac{d\sigma_{i,Born}}{\sigma_{Born}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

(5) at the minimum of the χ^2 distribution, read the M_W shift

э

伺い イヨト イヨト

M_W shift induced by h.o. QED RC

 the execise was performed by including (naive) detector effects, which are important



- for the electron, a recombination criterium was adopted \rightarrow smaller effect
- W-mass shift due to multiphoton radiation is about 10% of that caused by one photon emission → non negligible for precise W mass!

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006

20/41

C.M.C.C., G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, A. Vicini, hep-ph/0609170

- http://www.pv.infn.it/hepcomplex/horace.html
- HORACE now includes exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW corrections, in order to go beyond the LL QED accuracy and include weak corrections (e.g. important at high M_T)
 - ★ virtual RC



NLO EW calculation

As usual, the (partonic) NLO EW calculation is split into two parts • $u\bar{d} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2} = \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{M}_\alpha^{virt}(\lambda)$$

• $u\bar{d} \to \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2\to 3} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E) + \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{hard}(\Delta E)$$
$$|\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|^2 = \delta^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|\mathcal{M}_0|^2$$

★ $2 \rightarrow 2$ cross section

 $d\sigma_{2\to 2} = d\sigma_{SV} \propto |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 + 2\Re[\mathcal{M}_0^*\mathcal{M}_\alpha^{virt}(\lambda)] + \delta^{soft}(\lambda, \Delta E)|\mathcal{M}_0|^2$

★ $2 \rightarrow 3$ cross section

$$d\sigma_{2\to3} = d\sigma_H \propto |\mathcal{M}^{hard}_{\alpha}(\Delta E)|^2$$

- the phase space integration is performed with MC techniques
- infrared singularities are regularized with a small photon mass λ ; collinear ones with a finite (unphysical) quark mass
- ⋆ IS collinear singularities must be subtracted

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

Subtraction of initial state collinear singularities

- IS quark masses regularize the collinear QED divergencies
- the QED IS singularities have to be subtracted from the hard cross section [in analogy with NLO QCD], since they are already accounted in the (QED) evolution of PDFs
- the set MRSTQED (2004) includes the QED evolution





- ★ QED evolution modifies PDFs at 0.1% level for x < 0.1
- dynamic generation of photon distr. function. Need to include photon induced processes in DY



Subtraction of IS collinear singularities

- QED initial-state collinear singularities are universal → are absorbed into PDFs, as in QCD
- the singular partonic cross section is convoluted with a modified PDF, subtracting IS singularities

$$f(x) \rightarrow f(x, \mu_F^2) - \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mu_F^2\right) \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} Q_q^2 \times \\ \times \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{m_q^2}\right) [P_{ff}(z)]_+ - [P_{ff}(z) \left(2\ln(1-z)+1\right)]_+ + C(z) \right\} \\ C(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \left[P_{ff}(z) \left(\ln\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right) - \frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{9+5z}{4}\right]_+ \end{array} \right.$$

C. Buttar et al., hep-ph/0604120

- during the Les Houches 2005 workshop, a tuned comparison among EW $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ calculations was done
 - ★ DK (Dittmaier & Krämer), HORACE, SANC, WGRAD2
- Setup for comparison (cuts & lepton ID)
 - **\star** LHC, W^+ production
 - $\begin{array}{ll} p_{\perp}^{\ell}>25~{\rm GeV} & p_{\perp}^{\rm miss}\equiv p_{\perp}^{\nu}>25~{\rm GeV} & |\eta_{\ell}|<2.5\\ \ell={\rm bare}~\mu^+, {\rm bare}~e^+, {\rm recombined}~e^+ \end{array}$
 - $\star\,$ recombination criteria (kill FS collinear $\alpha\log\frac{s}{m_e^2},$ due to KLN theorem):

if $|\eta_{\gamma}| > 2.5$ and $R_{e^+\gamma} = \sqrt{(\eta_{e^+} - \eta_{\gamma})^2 + \phi_{e^+\gamma}^2} \le 0.1$ photon and electron momenta are summed (i.e. $p_e = p_e + p_{\gamma}$)

• similar comparison for the TeV4LHC workshop still going on. Naive-detector effects are also investigated here.

Les Houches comparisons, varying p_{\perp}^{ℓ} cut

C. Buttar et al., hep-ph/0604120

$pp \rightarrow \nu_l l^+(+\gamma) @ \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV} \text{ (with MRSTQED04)}$						
$p_{\mathrm{T},l}/\mathrm{GeV}$	25–∞	50–∞	100–∞	200–∞	500−∞	1000–∞
$\sigma_0/{\rm pb}$						
Dĸ	2112.2(1)	13.152(2)	0.9452(1)	0.11511(2)	0.0054816(3)	0.00026212(1)
HORACE	2112.21(4)	13.151(6)	0.9451(1)	0.11511(1)	0.0054812(4)	0.00026211(2)
SANC	2112.22(2)	13.1507(2)	0.94506(1)	0.115106(1)	0.00548132(6)	0.000262108(3)
Wgrad	2112.3(1)	13.149(1)	0.94510(5)	0.115097(5)	0.0054818(2)	0.00026209(2)
$\delta_{e^+\nu_e}/\%$						
Dĸ	-5.19(1)	-8.92(3)	-11.47(2)	-16.01(2)	-26.35(1)	-37.92(1)
HORACE	-5.23(1)	-8.98(1)	-11.49(1)	-16.03(1)	-26.36(1)	-37.92(2)
Wgrad	-5.10(1)	-8.55(5)	-11.32(1)	-15.91(2)	-26.1(1)	-38.2(2)
$\delta_{\mu+\nu_{\mu}}/\%$						
Dĸ	-2.75(1)	-4.78(3)	-8.19(2)	-12.71(2)	-22.64(1)	-33.54(2)
HORACE	-2.79(1)	-4.84(1)	-8.21(1)	-12.73(1)	-22.65(1)	-33.57(1)
SANC	-2.80(1)	-4.82(2)	-8.17(2)	-12.67(2)	-22.63(2)	-33.50(2)
Wgrad	-2.69(1)	-4.53(1)	-8.12(1)	-12.68(1)	-22.62(2)	-33.6(2)
$\delta_{\rm recomb}/\%$						
Dĸ	-1.73(1)	-2.45(3)	-5.91(2)	-9.99(2)	-18.95(1)	-28.60(1)
HORACE	-1.77(1)	-2.51(1)	-5.94(1)	-10.02(1)	-18.96(1)	-28.65(1)
SANC	-1.89(1)	-2.56(1)	-5.97(1)	-10.02(1)	-18.96(1)	-28.61(1)
WGRAD	-1.71(1)	-2.32(1)	-5.94(1)	-10.11(2)	-19.08(3)	-28.73(6)
$\delta_{\gamma q}/\%$						
Dĸ	+0.071(1)	+5.24(1)	+13.10(1)	+16.44(2)	+14.30(1)	+11.89(1)

Les Houches comparisons: distributions

• EW corrections varying M_T cut

$M_{\rm T}/{\rm GeV}$	50– ∞	100–∞	200– ∞	500– ∞	1000–∞
$\delta_{ m rec}/\%$	-1.73(1)	-3.43(2)	-6.52(2)	-12.55(1)	-19.51(1)
$\delta_{\gamma q}/\%$	+0.0567(3)	+0.1347(1)	+0.2546(1)	+0.3333(1)	+0.3267(1)

perfect agreement between independent calculations on p^ℓ_⊥, M_T distributions (δ due to EW O(α))



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

November 3, 2006 27 / 41

C.M.C.C., Montagna, Nicrosini, Vicini, hep-ph/0609170, submitted to JHEP

- we would like to combine (merge, match) the old QED PS based formulation with the exact EW $O(\alpha)$ calculation, in order to
 - preserve PS advantages (multi-photon effects, exclusive event generation)
 - * go beyond its approximation (LL accuracy, missing contributions already at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$)
- the matching has to avoid the double counting of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ LL, already accounted for by the PS, and to "produce" a formula well suited for Monte Carlo generation
- a solution to the problem has been found...
- the issue has a long story also in QCD (e.g. MC@NLO, POWHEG)

PS and exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ matrix elements (at parton level)

Consider the LL [$LL \equiv PS$] resummed, $LL O(\alpha)$ and exact $O(\alpha)$ cross sections

•
$$d\sigma_{LL}^{\infty} = \Pi(Q^2, \varepsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} |\mathcal{M}_{n,LL}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

• $d\sigma_{LL}^{\alpha} = [1 + C_{\alpha,LL}] |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + |\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2 d\Phi_1 \equiv d\sigma_{SV}(\varepsilon) + d\sigma_H(\varepsilon)$
• $d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} = [1 + C_{\alpha}] |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + |\mathcal{M}_1|^2 d\Phi_1$
• $F_{SV} = 1 + (C_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha,LL}) \qquad F_H = 1 + \frac{|\mathcal{M}_1|^2 - |\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2}$

•
$$d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{at }\mathcal{O}(\alpha)}{=} F_{SV}(1+C_{\alpha,LL})|\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_0 + F_H|\mathcal{M}_{1,LL}|^2 d\Phi_1$$

$$d\sigma_{\underline{matched}}^{\infty} = F_{SV} \Pi(Q^2, \varepsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n} F_{H,i} \right) |\mathcal{M}_{n,LL}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Contents of the matched formula

- F_{SV} and $F_{H,i}$ are infrared safe and account for missing EW $O(\alpha)$, avoiding double counting of QED LL
- $\left[\sigma_{matched}^{\infty}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)} = \sigma_{exact}^{\alpha}$
- $\sigma^{\infty}_{matched}$ is "made of" exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ one-loop building blocks
- resummation of higher-order LL contributions preserved
- the cross section is still fully differential in the momenta of the final state particles (including the photons)
- Problem:

the $O(\alpha)$ calculation presents IS collinear singularities $(\propto \alpha \log \frac{M_W^2}{m_q^2})$, which are exponentiated in the matched formula A subtraction procedure up to all orders needs to be devised!

Contents of the matched formula

- F_{SV} and $F_{H,i}$ are infrared safe and account for missing EW $O(\alpha)$, avoiding double counting of QED LL
- $\left[\sigma_{matched}^{\infty}\right]_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha)} = \sigma_{exact}^{\alpha}$
- $\sigma^{\infty}_{matched}$ is "made of" exact $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ one-loop building blocks
- resummation of higher-order LL contributions preserved
- the cross section is still fully differential in the momenta of the final state particles (including the photons)

• Problem:

the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ calculation presents IS collinear singularities ($\propto \alpha \log \frac{M_W^2}{m_q^2}$), which are exponentiated in the matched formula. A subtraction procedure up to all orders needs to be devised!

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

IS subtraction to all orders

• the idea is to start with a subtracted $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ cross section

 $d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} = d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} - d\sigma_{sub}^{\alpha} + d\sigma_{sub}^{\alpha} \equiv d\tilde{\sigma}_{exact}^{\alpha} + d\sigma_{sub}^{\alpha}$

where $d\sigma_{sub}^{\alpha}$ contains the LL initial state collinear singularities, both for the S+V part and the real part

(see hep-ph/0609170 for explicit formulae)

- then the resummation and matching are performed by using the subtracted $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ building blocks of $d\tilde{\sigma}^{\alpha}_{exact}$, which is free of IS collinear singularities
- proof of the independence from quark masses
 - e.g., W^+ cross section (nb) at LHC (within typical cuts)

	$\mathcal{O}(lpha)$	matched
m_q	4410.98 ± 0.20	4412.14 ± 0.26
$m_q/10$	4410.92 ± 0.26	4411.89 ± 0.33
$m_q/100$	4410.99 ± 0.29	4411.92 ± 0.50

$\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW results with HORACE

- LHC, $pp \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$, $p_{\perp,\ell}$ and $p_{\perp,\nu} >$ 25 GeV, $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$
- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW corrections to the M_T distribution



• $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ corrections at 5% - 10% level around the peak and increasingly large in the M_T tail due to the presence of the EW Sudakov (logs)², $\alpha_W \log^2 \frac{s}{M_Z^2}$

Weak $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ and QED non-log corrections on M_T



differences between matched result and final-state [FS] QED PS

- blue = $(d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha} d\sigma_{PS}^{\alpha})/d\sigma_0$ red = $(d\sigma_{matched}^{\infty} d\sigma_{PS}^{\infty})/d\sigma_0$
 - Sum of weak $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, QED FS non-logs and QED IS remnant flat around the peak, increasingly large in the tail
 - the FS QED PS calculation is improved consistently by missing $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ with the matching procedure

November 3, 2006 33 / 41

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Effects of multi-photon radiation on M_T





• blue = $(d\sigma_{matched}^{\infty} - d\sigma_{exact}^{\alpha})/d\sigma_0$ red = $(d\sigma_{PS}^{\infty} - d\sigma_{PS}^{\alpha})/d\sigma_0$

- QED h.o. around the peak distort the shape. In the tail, induced effects by EW Sudakov ⊗ O(α) QED LL
- the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ calculation is improved consistently by h.o. with the matching procedure

November 3, 2006 34 / 41

Effects on lepton and W rapidities



- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ effects al the level of 2%, resummation negligible
- important for acceptances determination, luminosity and PDFs constraints

Effects on p_{\perp}^W

- no QCD effects
- p_{\perp}^W is defined as the p_{\perp} of the $\ell^+ \nu$ pair, different from zero because of photon radiation



• at high p_{\perp}^W using the exact photon emission ME is crucial, both for $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ and resummed distribution

Photonic observables (radiative events)

- besides leptonic cuts, we require $|\eta_\gamma|<2.5$ and $E_\gamma>3~{\rm GeV}$ for the hardest photon
- this signature can be used e.g. to study the $WW\gamma$ trilinear vertex



- as expected, the exact real emission ME gives large corrections w.r.t. the LL approximation
- here radiative events (one more α) are selected

Combining EW & QCD corrections

A unified tool simulating "at best" EW and QCD corrections would be highly desiderable for data analysis

• e.g., RESBOS combined with factorizable FS EW corrections of WGRAD2 \rightarrow RESBOS-A

Q. Cao, C.P. Yuan, PRL 93 042001 (2004)

Q. Cao, C.P. Yuan, hep-ph/0401171



C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

EW radiative corrections to charged DY

HORACE & QCD showering MCs

- HORACE is "Les Houches Accord" compliant. Its events can be passed through QCD Parton Shower & hadronization MCs (HERWIG or PYTHIA) to include at least LL QCD effects.
- e.g. HORACE (with QED PS)+PYTHIA vs. PYTHIA+PHOTOS



HORACE & QCD showering MCs (II)



★ interface to more refined QCD tools (MC@NLO, ALPGEN) is in progress...

C. M. Carloni Calame (INFN)

November 3, 2006 4

40/41

Conclusions & outlook

- DY processes are a fertile ground for (challenging) precision physics at hadron colliders
 - * precise M_W measurement ($\Delta M_W = 15$ MeV at LHC)
 - ★ PDF constraints
 - ★ collider luminosity (with accuracy O(5%))
 - ★ it is a background to New Physics searches
- Theoretical calculations are essential ingredients for the success of the physics program. Higher order QCD and EW corrections must be taken into account
- The Monte Carlo "EW event generator" HORACE has been developed, including
 - $\star~ \operatorname{exact} \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ EW corrections matched with a
 - ★ QED Parton Shower to simulate multi-photon radiation
- Combining QCD and EW generators is needed for data analysis
- Work in progress to
 - ★ interface "at best" HORACE with more refined QCD tools
 - * extend the EW matching to $\gamma/Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ channel

thank v